

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,777	12/27/2004	Hiroyuki Araki	P26452	67,77
7055	7590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
RESTON, V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/517,777	ARAKI, HIROYUKI	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Isaac N. Hamilton	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 L</u>	December 2004.		
2a)□		s action is non-final.	•	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is	<b>;</b>
	closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application	tion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
. 9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 12/27/04 is/are: a)⊠ a	accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by th	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	1).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).	
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	·	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicati	on No	
	$3. \boxtimes$ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Burea	* **		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		(DTO 440)	
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
3) 因 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application	
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/28/05</u> .	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/517,777

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, hereafter AAPA in view of Ganter et al (3,528,239), hereafter Ganter. In the"Description of Prior Art section", AAPA discloses an electric tape cutter that feeds a leading edge of adhesive tape wound on a reel from a delivery outlet and cuts it to an appropriate length with an electrically driven blade provided at the delivery outlet, and has a magnet affixed to a pinion gear that has an axis of rotation and is connected to a drive source. AAPA does not disclose a fitted member of equal weight to the magnet embedded in the pinion gear at a location that is symmetrical to the position at which the magnet is provided with the axis of rotation of the pinion gear therebetween. However, Ganter teaches a fitted member, which is the portion of element 11 on the left side of element 10 in figure 2, of equal weight to the magnet embedded in a gear 11 at a location that is symmetrical to the position at which the magnet is provided with the axis of rotation 10 of the gear therebetween. It would have been obvious to provide disclose a fitted member of equal weight to the magnet embedded in the pinion gear at a location that is symmetrical to the position at which the magnet is provided with the axis of rotation of the pinion gear therebetween in AAPA as taught by Ganter in order to provide balanced rotation of the gear as recited in column 1, lines 28-36.

Art Unit: 3724

Regarding claim 2, Ganter teaches the fitted member is made of brass in column 2, line 40. It would have been obvious to provide a fitted member of brass in AAPA as taught by Ganter in order to provide a material that isn't easily magnetized.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bunch is cited for a weight counterbalancing a magnet mounted on a rotating element.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HI

October 2, 2006

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER